UNITED STATES FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

In Re:)	
)	No. 00-156
RONALD BRASHER, LICENSEE OF)	
PRIVATE LAND MOBILE STATIONS)	
WPLQ202, WPCG967, WPL0495,)	
WPKH771, WPKI739, WPKI733,)	
WPKI707, WIL990, WPLQ45,)	
WPLY658, WPKY903, WPKY901,)	
WPLZ533, WPKI762 AND WPDU262,)	
DALLAS/FORT WORTH, TEXAS, et al.)	

FCC-OALJ KUD

Volume: 6

Pages:

901 through 1124

Place:

Washington, D.C.

Date:

March 2, 2001

HERITAGE REPORTING CORPORATION

Official Reporters
1220 L Street, N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20005-4018
(202) 628-4888
hrc@concentric.net



Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

In Re:)		
)	No.	00-156
RONALD BRASHER, LICENSEE OF)		
PRIVATE LAND MOBILE STATIONS)		
WPLQ202, WPCG967, WPL0495,)		
WPKH771, WPKI739, WPKI733,)		
WPKI707, WIL990, WPLQ45,)		
WPLY658, WPKY903, WPKY901,)		
WPLZ533, WPKI762 AND WPDU262,)		
DALLAS/FORT WORTH, TEXAS, et al.)		

Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Room TW-A-363 Washington, D.C. 20554

Friday, March 2, 2001

The parties met, pursuant to the notice of the Judge, at 9:00 a.m.

BEFORE: HONORABLE ARTHUR I. STEINBERG Administrative Law Judge

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of Ronald Brasher, Patricia Brasher, Estate of O.C. Brasher, Metroplex Two Way Radio and DLB

MARK W. ROMNEY, ESQUIRE Vial, Hamilton, Koch & Knox 1717 Main Street, Suite 4400 Dallas, Texas 75201-7388 (214) 712-4400

MICHAEL L. HIGGS, JR., ESQUIRE Schwaninger & Associates, P.C. 1331 H Street, N.W., Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 347-8580

On Behalf of David and Diane Brasher:

K. LAWSON PEDIGO, ESQUIRE Fulbright & Jaworksi LLP 2200 Ross Avenue, Suite 2800 Dallas, Texas 75201 (214) 855-8184

RONNIE WILSON, ESQUIRE 100 North Central Expressway, Suite 1211 Richardson, Texas 75080 (972) 699-0041

On Behalf of the Federal Communications Commission:

JUDY LANCASTER, ESQUIRE Federal Communications Commission Enforcement Bureau 445 12th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554 (202) 418-7584

WILLIAM H. KNOWLES-KELLETT, ESQUIRE Federal Communications Commission Enforcement Bureau 1270 Fairfield Road Gettysburg, Pennsylvania 17325 (717) 338-2505

INDEX

WITNESSES:	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS	VOIR DIRE
David L. Brasher	905	996 1017	1022	1044	
Jennifer Gail Sumpter Hill	1045	1070 1106	1119	1123	

<u>E X H I B I T S</u>

IDENTIFIED

RECEIVED

REJECTED

Ronald Brasher/Patricia Brasher:

RB/PB-4

1088

1		PROCEEDINGS
2		(9:00 a.m.)
3		JUDGE STEINBERG: We are on the record now.
4		Whereupon,
5		DAVID L. BRASHER
6		having been first duly sworn, was called as a
7	witness h	erein and was examined and testified as follows:
8		DIRECT EXAMINATION
9		BY MS. LANCASTER:
10	Q	Good morning.
11	A	Good morning.
12	Q	Mr. Brasher, would you please state your full name
13	for the r	record?
14	A	David L. Brasher.
15	Q	And your current address?
16	A	222 Molina, M-o-l-i-n-a, Sunnyvale, Texas 75182.
17	Q	And how long have you lived at that address?
18	А	Thirteen or 14 years.
19	Q	Are you married?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	Your wife's name?
22	А	Diane Brasher. Her given name is Thelma Diane
23	Brasher.	She prefers Diane.
24	Q	I can see why. Do you have children?
25	А	Yes, I do.

- 1 Q What are their names and ages, please?
- 2 A Michael David Brasher is 20 years old and I have
- 3 Haley Ann Brasher who has just turned 15.
- 4 Q Can you summarize your educational background for
- 5 the Court?
- A Approximately two years of junior college.
- 7 Q Okay. And your work history prior to coming to
- 8 DLB, say, ten years prior to coming to DLB, what had you
- 9 done?
- 10 A I was in data processing and several management
- 11 positions within major corporations.
- 12 O Okay. I believe --
- 13 A It's all one long service date, multiple buyouts.
- I stayed at the place, but the place changed.
- 15 Q The company name changed.
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Even though you kept the same position basically.
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 O What was the company name at the end?
- 20 A IBM.
- Q When did you come to work for DLB?
- 22 A April 1, 1997.
- Q Had you had any connection at all with DLB prior
- 24 to that time?
- 25 A I had always been an officer since the inception

- of the corporation and in that capacity we had associations,
- 2 communications back and forth, as well as communications
- 3 with my career back and forth.
- 4 Q You participated in discussions about the business
- 5 prior to actually going to work for DLB?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q What is your current position with DLB?
- 8 A As it was from the inception, I'm the vice
- 9 president.
- 10 Q So you have had no change of title or no change of
- 11 responsibilities?
- 12 A The responsibility, I was vice president from the
- day of inception and as I learned in prior testimony from
- 14 Pat it was mainly because I was in town because she needed
- 15 that in case she needed to make decisions for the
- 16 corporation.
- 17 Q Mr. Brasher, I'd ask you not to reiterate what the
- 18 prior testimony was, but to tell me what you know about
- 19 personally.
- 20 A Okay. I was vice president from the inception.
- 21 My involvement in the business did not get really -- until
- 22 I started to work there.
- Q What is your current salary from DLB?
- A Anywhere from 90 to 92.
- Q Do you have any other income?

1	Α	No,	ma'am.
---	---	-----	--------

- 2 Q How about your wife?
- 3 A Her salary?
- 4 Q Yes.
- 5 A It should be the same as mine.
- 6 Q And does she have any other income?
- 7 A No, ma'am.
- 8 Q Do you receive other benefits from DLB?
- 9 A No, ma'am.
- 10 Q Do you have health insurance?
- 11 A Oh, yes. Yes. I have health insurance.
- 12 Q Life insurance?
- 13 A Not yet.
- 14 Q Is the liability coverage on your vehicle covered
- 15 by DLB?
- 16 A No, ma'am.
- 17 Q Do you receive any type of office equipment
- 18 allowance?
- 19 A No, ma'am.
- 20 Q Do you have radios in your cars?
- A No, ma'am.
- Q Cell phones?
- A My personal vehicles, no.
- Q You have a vehicle provided to you by DLB?
- 25 A I have a vehicle that I drive on occasion.

- Q When you say "on occasion," how often do you drive
- 2 that vehicle each week?
- 3 A Two to three times each week.
- 4 Q And it has a phone in it?
- 5 A It has a two-way radio.
- 6 Q Do you have a cell phone provided by DLB?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q Do you know the yearly gross income of DLB for the
- 9 past year?
- 10 A 2.4 million.
- 11 Q And do you know what the net would be for DLB in
- 12 the past year?
- 13 A I would have to look at the books. No.
- 14 Q Okay. Do you know the percentage of the gross
- income that comes from repeater fees?
- A Anywhere from 40 to 60 to 70 percent.
- 17 O 40 to 70 percent?
- 18 A Mm-hmm.
- 19 Q That's as close as you can get it?
- 20 A Well, the repeater service is a seque into
- 21 service, into sales into maintenance and so on, so for
- 22 just -- they all feed each other.
- Q Okay. But I asked you specifically, do you know
- 24 the percentage of the gross income from the repeater fees
- 25 themselves.

- 1 A From 10 to 25 percent.
- 2 Q So it could possibly be as low as 10 percent, but
- once you count in that those repeater fees lead to the
- 4 maintenance and the sales of equipment and that sort of
- 5 thing, it could go as high as 70 percent.
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Is that your testimony?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q How many bank accounts are used by DLB?
- 10 A Two.
- 11 Q I believe there's a DLB account and a Brasher
- 12 account. Is that correct?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Do you know what each one is used for?
- 15 A The DLB account is my day-to-day account for
- running the business, where the monies that customers send
- in that is deposited on a daily basis, also where the checks
- are paid for the outstanding bills and so on, as well as
- anything else for operation of the company.
- 20 Q Okay. And what's the Brasher account used for?
- 21 A The Brasher account, from my understanding, is
- 22 primarily for the repeater system power side payments.
- Q Okay. Do you write checks on either of these
- 24 accounts?
- 25 A I have authority for DLB. I don't know about

- 1 Brasher.
- 2 Q You don't know if you have authority?
- 3 A For Brasher.
- Q Okay. But do you ever write checks on the DLB
- 5 account?
- 6 A I have. Yes.
- 7 Q Okay. I assume, correct me if I'm wrong, I assume
- 8 you have never written a check on the Brasher account.
- 9 A I have never written a check on the Brasher
- 10 account.
- 11 Q Okay. When you need a check, do you ever request
- 12 checks be written on the Brasher account?
- 13 A I have no reason to.
- 14 0 Is that a no?
- 15 A That's a no. I'm sorry.
- 16 Q Mr. Brasher, you received a copy of the Net Wave
- 17 petition. Is that correct?
- 18 A Yes, ma'am. I did.
- 19 Q What did you do when you received it?
- 20 A Contacted -- sat down and talked with my father,
- 21 Mr. Brasher, Ronald Brasher.
- 22 Q And you talked with your mother also?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q And your wife?
- 25 A Sure.

- 1 Q You had a family discussion about it?
- 2 A Not all at once. I'm sorry. It was conversation
- 3 with Ron and then I had conversation with Pat. It wasn't --
- 4 I guess you'd call it -- like called a meeting or anything,
- 5 it was like, you know, what are we going to do, how are we
- 6 going to do this, what's this, you know.
- 7 Q Okay. I'd like for you to turn to Exhibit 2 in
- 8 the notebooks in front of you.
- 9 Are you there?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Do you recognize that as a copy of the opposition
- that was filed with the FCC on your behalf?
- 13 A Yes, I recognize it as that.
- 14 Q Did you authorize the filing of this document on
- 15 your behalf?
- 16 A I read it, gave it to Ron and Ron submitted it
- 17 Schwaninger or whoever it was at that time, Brown, and
- 18 I assume they did.
- 19 Q So that was okay with you?
- 20 A Yes. At that time.
- 21 Q And did you authorize the filing of this document
- 22 as an officer of DLB?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q Please turn to Exhibit *19.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Can I just ask a question?

- 1 MS. LANCASTER: Certainly.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: I mean, when you say did you
- authorize this filing as an officer of DLB, did you think to
- 4 yourself I'm a vice president of DLB and when you handed it
- 5 to your father, I hereby authorize this filing? Or did you
- 6 just and it to him and say it's okay with me? Or did you
- 7 say nothing? I'm trying to determine what was in your mined
- 8 when you read it.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Can I put this into a timeframe?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Sure. You can answer it any way
- 11 you want to.
- 12 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, at this time here, I was
- virtually new to the organization, I'd say about six months
- into the licensing end of it. I had yet to be trained or
- understand -- yes, I did have a license, I understand the
- 16 importance of licensing and so on.
- 17 This here, I felt that by giving it -- turning the
- 18 responsibility, I quess, or turning it over to my father --
- by turning that over or saying, you know, we'll handle it,
- it will get handled.
- 21 JUDGE STEINBERG: And was that -- I mean, is that
- 22 what you said, we'll handle it, or is that what you said?
- THE WITNESS: Well, we had discussion that, you
- 24 know, it will get handled, we'll take care of it. And
- 25 that's, I guess, the way -- you know, I have to look at it

- as that, yes, I looked at it; yes, I did go through it; yes,
- I did agree and I didn't see any problem with it.
- 3 I really -- you know, at that time, I felt like Net Wave was
- 4 trying to stop us in the marketplace or trying to throw up
- 5 road blocks. That was my frame of mind at that time.
- 6 JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. And it's not something
- 7 like here's a memo and I authorize this opposition to be
- 8 filed and you initialled DB for David Brasher.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Oh, no.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: It wasn't anything formal.
- THE WITNESS: Oh, no, sir. No, sir. It was not.
- 12 JUDGE STEINBERG: You just handed it to your
- father and said looks okay to me or something like that?
- 14 THE WITNESS: Sure. That fashion. Yes, sir.
- BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 16 Q At the time you were working at DLB, right?
- 17 A Yes, ma'am. I was.
- 18 Q And you did have conversations in detail about the
- 19 Net Wave petition, correct? There were a lot of discussions
- about the Net Wave petition?
- 21 A Okay. When you say detail --
- 22 Q You discussed the allegations that were contained
- in the Net Wave petition, didn't you?
- 24 A Oh, yes. Yes.
- 25 Q And you discussed what your response was going to

- be to each allegation, didn't you?
- 2 A To some degree.
- 3 Q Would you turn to Exhibit 17? Are you there?
- 4 A Yes, I am.
- 5 Q Do you recognize this document?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Take your time. If you need
- 7 time to read it, we'll go off the record and let you read
- 8 it. Whatever you feel comfortable with doing.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Can I have a few minutes, sir?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes. We'll go off the record.
- 11 (A brief recess was taken.)
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Mr. Brasher, while we were off
- the record, did you view Bureau Exhibit 17?
- 14 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. I did.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay.
- 16 Ms. Lancaster?
- 17 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 18 Q Do you recognize that document?
- 19 A I don't recognize this document.
- 20 Q Have you not see that document before?
- 21 A This particular document, I don't remember
- 22 reviewing it or seeing it. I've reviewed a lot of
- documents, but I don't particularly remember this document.
- Q Okay. What did you do to prepare your testimony
- 25 today?

- 1 MR. PEDIGO: Objection. Calls for attorney-client
- 2 communications.
- MS. LANCASTER: I disagree, Your Honor. He can
- 4 say he discussed with his attorney and then he can tell what
- 5 else he did.
- 6 JUDGE STEINBERG: Well --
- 7 MS. LANCASTER: I mean, the fact that he had
- 8 talked with is attorney is not a violation of the
- 9 attorney-client privilege.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: I don't see the relevance to
- 11 this.
- 12 MS. LANCASTER: I'd like to know what he reviewed
- prior to coming in here and that would have been a follow-up
- 14 question.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, ask if he reviewed No. 17
- 16 before he came in today.
- 17 MS. LANCASTER: Well, I would like to get a
- 18 general sense of what he has reviewed before I go on to
- 19 every document so I don't have to repeat that line of
- 20 questioning every time.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. I'll give you a little
- 22 bit of leeway, but don't get into the substantive of --
- MS. LANCASTER: I'm not going to ask him about his
- conversations with his attorney.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: You can ask and he might waive

- 1 it. I don't know. Or Mr. Brasher might.
- Okay. Why don't you -- you can go ahead, in a
- 3 general way.
- 4 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 5 Q What did you do to prepare to testify today?
- 6 A I reviewed what I thought was my realm of
- 7 responsibility from the timeframe of 1997 forward. Yes,
- 8 I was an officer of DLB. I've got to put -- I have a hard
- 9 time understanding what I knew at that time and what I have
- 10 gained.
- 11 Q Well, let me stop you and ask more specific
- 12 questions. Did you receive copies of all of the documents
- that have been filed on your behalf or on behalf of DLB?
- 14 Have you had a chance to look at all documents that have
- 15 been filed?
- 16 A I've looked at a lot of documents, ma'am.
- 17 Q Is that a yes or a no?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Okay. So at some point in time, you did at least
- look at what has been marked as Exhibit 17.
- 21 A There's a possibility I could have, yes.
- 22 Q You just don't recall it?
- 23 A I just don't recall it.
- Q Okay. Do you realize that Exhibit 17 was
- submitted on behalf of DLB Enterprises?

- 1 A Yes, ma'am. That's what it reads.
- MR. PEDIGO: Objection, Your Honor. I think the
- 3 first page of this says --
- 4 No, the first page, the cover letter says "We
- 5 represent the radio system interests of Ronald D. Brasher."
- 6 MS. LANCASTER: Your Honor, I will specifically
- 7 ask him about the first page versus the second page.
- 8 JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, the documents speak for
- 9 themselves and you've got the testimony of the witness that
- 10 he hadn't -- if he saw it, he doesn't remember it.
- MS. LANCASTER: Correct. I understand.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. That's the testimony.
- 13 Ask your next question.
- 14 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 15 Q In 1998, did you feel that you had any input
- 16 regarding the position that DLB took with the FCC? If they
- made representations to the FCC, did you have any input
- regarding what their position was going to be, what DLB's
- 19 position was going to be?
- 20 A Can you explain who is they? You know, you
- 21 said --
- 22 Q Any document that was filed on behalf of DLB.
- 23 A Okay.
- Q Was basically telling the FCC what DLB's position
- was about whatever the subject of that document was. Do you

- 1 understand that so far?
- 2 A Mm-hmm.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Is that yes?
- 4 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 5 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 6 Q Back in 1998, did you think that you had any input
- 7 in determining what those documents were going to say that
- 8 were filed with the Commission on behalf of DLB?
- 9 A The communication that I had or conversation was
- 10 through Ron through the attorneys.
- 11 Q Correct. And did you -- I'm sorry, go ahead.
- 12 A That's basically the way we communicated. I felt
- it was being handled by Ron and his attorneys.
- 14 O Okay. Did you voice your opinion about these
- 15 various matters to Ron?
- 16 A On the issues that -- if there was issues that
- 17 I needed to be involved with, sure.
- 19 A But not all issues.
- 20 Q Okay. Exhibit 17 was submitted to the FCC. Did
- 21 you think that you needed to voice your opinion about the
- 22 statements that went into Exhibit 17?
- 23 A I don't remember this. I don't remember this
- 24 particular document.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Look at Exhibit 16.

- Now, I think we can stipulate that Exhibit 17 is
- the response to Exhibit 16. Anybody have any problem with
- 3 that?
- 4 MR. PEDIGO: No, Your Honor.
- 5 JUDGE STEINBERG: And just -- if you want to
- 6 review Exhibit 16, my question is did you ever see this
- 7 letter at or about the time it was written, let's say in
- 8 late 1998?
- 9 THE WITNESS: No, sir.
- 10 JUDGE STEINBERG: You didn't see the letter?
- 11 THE WITNESS: No.
- 12 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 13 Q You never saw Exhibit 16, is your testimony?
- 14 A No. I did not.
- 15 JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. At or about the time --
- 16 we're talking about the time period about November -- for
- about the month after November 9th, did you have discussions
- 18 with your parents about the questions the Commission is
- 19 asking in Exhibit 16?
- Did they ask for your input with respect to any of
- these questions, the questions in 16, if you can remember?
- 22 If you can remember.
- THE WITNESS: Not that I'm trying to not answer
- you, to the best of my knowledge, we had conversations, but
- I don't know if it pertains to these two items, sir.

- I mean, I would do research for him and I would pull
- 2 information up, I would pull documents, you know, go to the
- 3 websites, pull customer information, things like that, but I
- 4 might not have known it pertained to this particular item.
- 5 16 and 17. I was more the research person.
- BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 7 Q And is it your testimony that you have never -- at
- 8 any time since Exhibit 17 has been filed, that you have
- 9 never read it and formed an opinion about the statements
- 10 contained in it?
- MR. PEDIGO: Objection, Your Honor.
- 12 JUDGE STEINBERG: It's compound. Why don't you
- 13 say read it and then --
- MS. LANCASTER: Well, he's stated he's read it at
- 15 some point.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, he read it this morning.
- 17 MS. LANCASTER: Right.
- 18 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 19 O Prior to this morning, did you ever read this
- document thoroughly enough to form an opinion about whether
- 21 the statements contained in it are truthful?
- 22 A No.
- Q Let's go to Exhibit 19, which is the big exhibit.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: The giant notebook.
- Let's see if you can match your parents' skill and

- 1 ability to just pick a page at random and have that page
- 2 that we're asking questions about.
- 3 THE WITNESS: That's scary.
- 4 JUDGE STEINBERG: I mean, both your parents did
- 5 it, so it might be genetic.
- 6 THE WITNESS: It skipped a generation.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Of course, you could just pick a
- 8 page at random and tell Ms. Lancaster that she can find a
- 9 question for that page.
- 10 MS. LANCASTER: I'm sure I can.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: That's not the way it worked the
- 12 other couple of days.
- BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 14 Q Do you recognize this document, Mr. Brasher?
- 15 JUDGE STEINBERG: You'll have to go to the front.
- 16 THE WITNESS: I was waiting on a page number.
- MS. LANCASTER: I didn't know what page you were
- on. I would have asked you about that first.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: 229.
- 20 MS. LANCASTER: Just kidding.
- 21 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- Q Do you recognize this document?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: If you want, we can go off the
- 24 record while you take a look at it.
- THE WITNESS: Jut two minutes, sir.

- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Sure.
- 2 (Pause.)
- THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm ready now.
- 4 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 5 Q Have you had an opportunity to look at it?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Do you recognize it?
- 8 A I've seen it in part, yes.
- 9 Q Have you ever seen the letter part of it?
- 10 That's --
- JUDGE STEINBERG: It's the first 11 pages.
- 12 MS. LANCASTER: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
- 13 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 14 Q Have you ever seen the first 11 pages?
- 15 A I've seen parts of the first 11 pages. Yes,
- 16 ma'am.
- 17 Q Is today the first time you've read the whole
- 18 first 11 pages?
- 19 A Actually, I breezed it. I did not read it word
- 20 for word.
- 21 Q Have you ever read it word for word?
- A No, ma'am.
- Q Were you ever consulted about the response
- 24 contained in Exhibit 19?
- A In part, some of it. Yes, ma'am.

- 1 Q Which parts?
- 2 A I'm looking.
- 3 (Pause.)
- 4 JUDGE STEINBERG: Can you answer the question now?
- 5 THE WITNESS: I think so.
- If you'll re-ask that question?
- 7 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 8 Q I said which parts -- well, let the court reporter
- 9 read my last question back, if you don't mind.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, I think the question was
- 11 whether Mr. Brasher was consulted about the responses in the
- 12 first 11 pages and he said he was consulted in part about
- the responses and the question was which parts.
- MS. LANCASTER: Which parts.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: So he can -- it's hard to get
- 16 back to it.
- 17 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 18 Q Which parts were you consulted about?
- 19 A Nothing in particular to the document, but
- 20 background information. Just general information and
- 21 conversations. I wasn't involved in the preparation of a
- response like for response 4, A, B, or C or any of that. It
- was just mainly conversations, what do you think about this
- or what's going on. In reference to this document, I did
- not prepare it or sit down formally with Ron or anything.

- 1 Q And you have not reviewed it?
- 2 A No.
- 3 Q Even as of today, you have not reviewed it?
- A No, I was looking at, I was just reviewing it now.
- 5 Q But not thoroughly.
- 6 A Pretty much so now.
- 7 Q Okay. Tell me, are you familiar with all the
- 8 documents that are contained in it, the exhibits? Did you
- 9 provide any of the exhibits?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Now we're going to have to give
- 11 him an opportunity to look at the exhibits if you're going
- 12 to ask if he helped provide them.
- 13 MS. LANCASTER: Well, Your Honor, when he says
- part of it he did and part of it he didn't, I think we need
- 15 to know which parts he did and which parts he didn't have
- 16 anything to do with.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, then, he's going to have
- 18 to look at each page, if that's what the question is.
- 19 Let me just ask, this document is dated April 5,
- 20 1999. Do you recall seeing this document -- let's just
- 21 limit it to the first 11 pages because that's all you really
- 22 looked at, that's all you really looked at today.
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Do you recall on or about April
- 25 15, 1999 reviewing a letter like this?

- THE WITNESS: Never reviewed the letter,
- 2 Your Honor.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Or a draft of a letter like
- 4 this?
- 5 THE WITNESS: No, sir, I did not.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Do you remember ever seeing a
- 7 document that looked this thick at or around April 5, 1999,
- 8 a little before, a little after?
- 9 THE WITNESS: There may be some research that
- 10 I did for Ron, he would say look up this particular item
- 11 here or go get me this particular product here, and I would
- bring it to him and he would either write the response or
- 13 handle it himself.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Ms. Lancaster, I mean, I've qot
- 15 all day.
- 16 THE WITNESS: I'm not being elusive, Your Honor.
- 17 JUDGE STEINBERG: I know. The only thing you can
- do is answer to the best of your ability.
- BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 20 Q Mr. Brasher, did you sign management agreements as
- 21 part of the preparation of this document, Exhibit 19?
- 22 A Is a management agreement a part of this
- 23 documentation?
- Q No, I said did you sign one as a part of preparing
- 25 this document?